

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 2929 09/23/2003 Simon C. Birtley G121-078 US 10/668,482 **EXAMINER** 21706 7590 03/18/2005 MAH, CHUCK Y NOTARO AND MICHALOS 100 DUTCH HILL ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 110 ORANGEBURG, NY 10962-2100 3676

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

₹ <u>¥</u> 4*		
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/668,482	BIRTLEY, SIMON C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chuck Mah	3676
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	
	—· s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	



Application/Control Number: 10/668,482 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

Both claims 6 and 10 fail to further limit the subject matter of claim 1 since the nut

limitation is being omitted and replaced by the caulking portion. It is conceivable

that claim 6 or claim 10 could be infringed by a fastener that would *not* also

infringe claim 1. Note MPEP 608.01 (n).

In claim 9, it is not clear how "a locking groove" is structurally related to the hinge

and what is being defined as "an outside of a locking groove". Further, it cannot

be understood how "an outside" is defined without defining the geometry of the

holder or of the groove.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,482 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

4. Claims 1, 3-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kimble (4,781,422). Note that '422 shows a casing (16), a shaft (75, 36), first friction disks (54), second friction disks (34), a nut (86) on the end of the shaft and a spring (80) between the disks and the nut.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6 and 10, as best as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimble '422.

'422 discloses the invention as claimed but for a caulking portion used as a fastening means. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the fastening portions of '422 with a caulking portion for fastening or whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al., 149 USPQ 47.* 

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimble '422 in view of Tanahashi (6,233,785).

'422 does not show adjacent disks being formed of materials of different hardness. '785 teaches a combination of a hard material and a soft material friction disks adjacent each other to minimize abrasion and to improve the touch

in the open/close operation (col. 7, lines 12-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disks of '422 with material of different hardness as taught by '785 to minimize metal abrasion and to improve the touch in the open/close operation.

8. Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimble '422 in view of Wahlstedt (6,530,123).

'422 does not show grease trap in the disks. '123 teaches forming oil trap in the disks to retain lubricant. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disks of '422 with a grease trap for retaining lubricant to enhance the life span of the hinge.

9. Claim 9 may be given favorable consideration if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Mah whose telephone number is (703) 308-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3676

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chuck Mah Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

CM